## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

CHET LAUX,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 20-C-270

AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING INC., AMERICAN AXLE 7 MANUFACTURING INC. LIFE AND DISABILITY PLAN FOR HOURLY EMPLOYEES, and SEDGWICK CLAIMS MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC.,

Defendants.

## ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR INDICATIVE RULING

Plaintiff Chet Laux commenced this action for judicial review of the denial of his claim for disability benefits under the American Axel & Manufacturing Inc. Life and Disability Plan for Hourly Employees in violation of § 502(a)(1)(B) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). On January 28, 2021, the Court concluded that Defendants acted arbitrarily and capriciously in denying Plaintiff's claim for short-term disability insurance benefits on the basis that his claim was untimely, but rather than remand the case for further consideration of Plaintiff's application, the Court prematurely concluded that Plaintiff was entitled to benefits. Judgment was entered the same day. The Court partially granted Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees and costs on April 8, 2021. Defendants filed a notice of appeal on April 21, 2021. The Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal for lack of jurisdiction and directed the parties to return to the district court for a determination of Plaintiff's damages. On October 29, 2021, the Court entered an order to amend the judgment reversing and remanding the matter to the plan administrator for

a full and fair review of Plaintiff's claim. The amended judgment was entered later that day.

Defendants filed a notice of appeal on November 19, 2021.

This matter comes before the Court on the parties' joint motion for an indicative ruling.

The parties state in their motion that they engaged in mediation facilitated by a court-appointed

mediator and have reached a settlement conditioned upon this Court vacating its January, April,

and October orders, as well as the Court's January judgment and October amended judgment upon

remand. The Court hereby concludes that granting the parties' motion for an indicative ruling

would best serve the interests of justice by allowing the case to be resolved according to the

agreement reached by the parties.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties' joint motion for an indicative ruling

(Dkt. No. 57) is **GRANTED**. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62.1, the Court indicates

that it would vacate its January 28, 2021 order, April 8, 2021 order, October 29, 2021 order,

January 28, 2021 judgment, and October 29, 2021 amended judgment upon remand so as to

effectuate the parties' settlement and serve the interests of justice.

Dated at Green Bay, Wisconsin this 7th day of February, 2022.

s/ William C. Griesbach

William C. Griesbach

United States District Judge

2